# Context Free Grammars and Induction Second Inductive Theorem Proving Festival, 2015

Dan Rosén

Chalmers University of Technology

#### Context Free Grammars and Induction

- Unambiguity proving of a CFG is an induction problem
- Recursion only by simple structural induction
- Can require very complicated lemmas

# Expression grammar

$$E ::= (E + E) | x | y$$

#### Expression grammar

```
E ::= (E + E) \mid x \mid y

data E = Plus E E \mid EX \mid EY

data Token = C \mid D \mid P \mid X \mid Y

show :: E \rightarrow [Token]

show (Plus a b) = [C] + show a + [P] + show b + [D]

show EX = [X]

show EY = [Y]
```

#### Expression grammar

```
E := (E + E) | x | y
data E = Plus E E \mid EX \mid EY
data Token = C \mid D \mid P \mid X \mid Y
show :: E \rightarrow [Token]
show (Plus a b) = [C] + show a + [P] + show b + [D]
show EX = [X]
show EY = [Y]
\forall s t \cdot show s = show t \Longrightarrow s = t
\forall s \ t \ . \ s \neq t \Longrightarrow show \ s \neq show \ t
```

#### Expression unambiguity, step case

```
show (Plus a b) = [C] ++ show a ++ [P] ++ show b ++ [D]
show EX = [X]
show EY = [Y]
\forall s t . show s = show t \Longrightarrow s = t
assumption: show (Plus s_1 s_2) = show (Plus t_1 t_2)
goal: Plus s_1 s_2 = Plus t_1 t_2
```

#### Expression unambiguity, step case

```
show (Plus a b) = [C] + show a + [P] + show b + [D]

show EX = [X]

show EY = [Y]

\forall s t \cdot show s = show t \Longrightarrow s = t

assumption: show (Plus s_1 s_2) = show (Plus t_1 t_2)

goal: Plus s_1 s_2 = Plus t_1 t_2

show s_1 + [P] + show s_2 = show t_1 + [P] + show t_2
```

#### Expression unambiguity, step case

```
show (Plus a b) = [C] + show a + [P] + show b + [D] show EX = [X] show EY = [Y] \forall s t . show s = show t \Longrightarrow s = t assumption : show (Plus s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub>) = show (Plus t<sub>1</sub> t<sub>2</sub>) goal : Plus s<sub>1</sub> s<sub>2</sub> = Plus t<sub>1</sub> t<sub>2</sub> show s<sub>1</sub> + [P] + show s<sub>2</sub> = show t<sub>1</sub> + [P] + show t<sub>2</sub> + a b u v . show a + u = show b + v \Longrightarrow a = b \land u = v
```

 $\forall \ a \ b \ u \ v \ . \ show \ a \# u = show \ b \# v \Longrightarrow a = b \wedge u = v$ 

```
\forall a b u v . show a + u = \text{show } b + v \Longrightarrow a = b \land u = v
IH_1 : \forall \ u' \ v' \text{ . show } a_1 + u' = \text{show } b_1 + v' \Longrightarrow a_1 = b_1 \land u' = v'
assumption : \text{show } (Plus \ a_1 \ a_2) + u = \text{show } (Plus \ b_1 \ b_2) + v
goal : Plus \ a_1 \ b_1 = Plus \ a_2 \ b_2 \land u = v
```

```
\forall a b u v . show a + u = show b + v \Longrightarrow a = b \land u = v

IH_1 : \forall u' \ v' . show \ a_1 + u' = show \ b_1 + v' \Longrightarrow a_1 = b_1 \land u' = v'

assumption : show \ (Plus \ a_1 \ a_2) + u = show \ (Plus \ b_1 \ b_2) + v

goal : Plus \ a_1 \ b_1 = Plus \ a_2 \ b_2 \land u = v

[C] + show \ a_1 + [P] + show \ a_2 + [D] + u

[C] + show \ b_1 + [P] + show \ b_2 + [D] + v
```

```
\forall a b u v . show a + u = show b + v \Longrightarrow a = b \land u = v

IH_1 : \forall u' v' . show a_1 + u' = show b_1 + v' \Longrightarrow a_1 = b_1 \land u' = v'

assumption : show (Plus a_1 \ a_2) + u = show (Plus b_1 \ b_2) + v

goal : Plus a_1 \ b_1 = Plus \ a_2 \ b_2 \land u = v

[C] + show \ a_1 + [P] + show \ a_2 + [D] + u
= [C] + show \ b_1 + [P] + show \ b_2 + [D] + v

show a_2 + [D] + u = show \ b_2 + [D] + v
```

## A more difficult example

```
S ::= A \mid B
A ::= x A y \mid z
B ::= x B y y \mid z
\{x^{n} z y^{n} \mid n > 0\} \cup \{x^{n} z y^{2n} \mid n > 0\}
```

Not LR(k) for any k

easy:

$$\forall xs \ ys \ zs \ . \ xs + ys = xs + zs \Longrightarrow ys = zs$$

"hard":

$$\forall xs ys zs . xs # zs = ys # zs \Longrightarrow xs = ys$$

#### "hard":

$$\forall$$
 xs ys zs . xs  $++$  zs  $=$  ys  $++$  zs  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $=$  ys

IH:  $\forall$  xs ys . xs  $++$  cs  $=$  ys  $++$  cs  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $=$  ys

assume: as  $++$  c: cs  $=$  bs  $++$  c: cs

show: as  $++$  bs

```
"hard":
```

```
\forall xs ys zs . xs ++ zs = ys ++ zs \Longrightarrow xs = ys

IH: \forall xs ys . xs ++ cs = ys ++ cs \Longrightarrow xs = ys

assume: as ++ c: cs = bs ++ c: cs

show: as ++ bs

assumption: (as ++ [c]) ++ cs = (bs ++ [c]) ++ cs

by IH: as ++ [c] =- bs ++ [c]
```

```
"hard":
```

$$\forall xs \ ys \ zs . \ xs + zs = ys + zs \Longrightarrow xs = ys$$
 $IH: \forall xs \ ys . \ xs + cs = ys + cs \Longrightarrow xs = ys$ 
 $assume: as + c: cs = bs + c: cs$ 
 $show: as + bs$ 
 $assumption: (as + [c]) + cs = (bs + [c]) + cs$ 
 $by \ IH: as + [c] = bs + [c]$ 
 $\forall xs \ ys \ z . \ xs + [z] = ys + [z] \Longrightarrow xs = ys$ 

### Injectivity lemma

assume: 
$$(a:as) ++ [c] = (b:bs) ++ [c]$$
  
show:  $a:as = b:bs$   

$$IH:as ++ [c] = bs ++ [c] \Longrightarrow as = bs$$

$$(a:as) ++ [c] = (b:bs) ++ [c]$$

$$a:(as ++ [c]) = b:(bs ++ [c])$$

$$a=b \land as ++ [c] = bs ++ [c]$$

$$a=b \land as = bs$$

### Required Lemmas (besides injectivity and trivialities)

```
S := A \mid B
A := x A v \mid z
B := x A y y | z
\{x^n z y^n \mid n > 0\} \cup \{x^n z y^{2n} \mid n > 0\}
count x (xs + ys) = count x xs + count x ys
count \times A = count \vee A
                                               count x A > 0
double (count \times B) = count \vee B
                                               count y A > 0
                                               count \times B > 0
                                               count y B > 0
double x \neq x for x > 0, using : x + y = x + z \Rightarrow y = z
                                   double x = x + x
```

#### Successful run

```
Proved:
    count Z (showB x) = S Zero
    count Z (showA x) = S Zero
    count Y (showA x) = count X (showA x)
    double (count X (showB x)) = count Y (showB x)
    nonZero (count x (showB y)) = True
    nonZero (count x (showA y)) = True
    count x xs + count x ys = count x (xs ++ ys)
    double (count x xs) = count x (xs ++ xs)
    count x (xs ++ ys) = count x (ys ++ xs)
    (xs ++ vs) ++ zs = xs ++ (vs ++ zs)
    (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)
    double x = x + x
    x + y = y + x
    xs ++ \Pi = xs
    x + Zero = x
    unambigS {- showS u == showS v => u == v -}
    unambigB {- showB u == showB v => u == v -}
    plusIniL \{-v+x == z+x => v == z -\}
    injR \{-v++u == w++u => v == w -\}
    unambigA {- showA u == showA v => u == v -}
    plusInjR \{-x+y == x+z \Rightarrow y == z -\}
    injL {- u++v == u++w => v == w -}
    inj1 \{-v++(x:[]) == w++(x:[]) => v == w -\}
real
       1m41.581s
user
        3m1 933s
        0m3.747s
svs
```

# Some other (simple!) grammars

```
Balanced nonparentheses:
B := A A
A := x A x
y

Dyck language:
D := (D) D
```

```
Palindromes : P ::= a P a | b P b | a | b | \epsilon
```

# Post Correspondence Problem

 $| a_1 | a_2 | a_3 | \dots | a_n |$  $| b_1 | b_2 | b_3 | \dots | b_n |$ 

### Post Correspondence Problem

$$| a_1 | a_2 | a_3 | ... | a_n |$$
  
 $| b_1 | b_2 | b_3 | ... | b_n |$   
 $S ::= A | B$   
 $A ::= x_0 | a_1 | A | x_1 | a_2 | A | x_2 | ... | a_n | A | x_n |$   
 $B ::= x_0 | b_1 | B | x_1 | b_2 | B | x_2 | ... | b_n | B | x_n |$   
 $showS (A | a) = showA | a |$   
 $showS (B | b) = showB | b |$   
 $showA (A_1 | a) = a_1 | + showA | a | + [X_1] |$   
...  
 $showA (A_n | a) = a_n | + showA | a | + [X_n] |$   
...  
 $showB (B_n | b) = b_n | + showB | b | + [X_n] |$ 

### Post Correspondence Problem

$$| a_1 | a_2 | a_3 | \dots | a_n |$$
  
 $| b_1 | b_2 | b_3 | \dots | b_n |$ 

**data** 
$$X = X_1 \mid X_2 \mid ... \mid X_n$$
  
 $upper :: X \rightarrow [Tok]$   
 $lower :: X \rightarrow [Tok]$ 

 $\forall \; (\textit{xs} :: [X]) \; . \; \textit{concatMap upper } \textit{xs} \neq \textit{concatMap lower } \textit{xs} \; \lor \; \textit{null } \textit{xs}$ 

$$concatMap :: (a \rightarrow [b]) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]$$

#### Conclusions

- Interesting class of problems
- Very simple programs, very difficult proofs
- ▶ How can we synthesise those functions for lemmas?